

I found the the below document in badly printed PDF format online. It has leaked from a Bishop's office to the public. In this 1961 document, Pope Paul XXIII details how sex pervert so-called 'Priests' etc. should be moved and protected in the Roman Catholic Church. Any true Christian church is formed and protected by God. Were it possible that such individuals could infiltrate the organisation, they would be exposed and excommunicated publicly. Because Christians fear God alone, not men.

Typed exactly as it was, although it was a badly readable copy on the internet. But now available in HTML , WPS and PDF. <https://theholychristianchurch.org/downloads/crimsol/>

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES
"EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE"

INSTRUCTION

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

++5++
INSTRUCTION

On the manner of proceeding in cases of the crime of solicitation

(This text is) to be diligently stored in the secret archives of the Curia as strictly confidential. Nor is it to be published nor added to with any commentaries.

PRELIMINARIES

1. The crime of solicitation takes place when a priest tempts a penitent, whoever that person is, either in the act of sacramental confession, whether before or immediately afterwards, whether on the occasion or the pretext of confession, whether even outside the times for confession in the confessional or (in a place] other than that (usually] designated for the hearing of confessions or tin a place] chosen for the simulated purpose of hearing a confession. (The object of this temptation) is to solicit or provoke (the penitent] toward impure and obscene matters, whether by words or signs or nods of the head, whether by touch or by writing whether then or after (the note has been read] or whether he has had with [that penitent] prohibited and improper speech or activity with reckless daring (Constitution Sacrum Poenitentiae, § 1).

2. [The right or duty of addressing] this unspeakable crime in the first instance pertains to the Ordinaries of the place in whose territory the accused has residence (V. below, numbers 30 and 31), and this not to mention through proper law but also from a special

delegation of the Apostolic See; It is enjoined upon these aforementioned persons to the fullest extent possible, [in addition to their being] gravely encumbered by their own consciences, that, after the occurrence of cases of this type that they, as soon as possible, take care to introduce, discuss and terminate [these cases] with their proper tribunal. However, because of particular and serious reasons, according to the norm of Canon 247, 5 2, these cases can be directly deferred to the Holy Congregation of the Holy Office or be so ordered. Yet (the right of) the accused respondents ++6++ remains intact in any instance of judgment to have recourse to the Holy Office. 'However, recourse thus interposed does not suspend, excluding the case of an appeal, the exercise of the jurisdiction of the Judge who has already begun to accept the case; and he can therefore be able to pursue the judgment up to the definitive decision, unless it has been established that the Apostolic See has summoned the case to itself (CU. Canon 1569).

1

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES
"EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE"
ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION
The Vatican Press, 1962

++5++
INSTRUCTION

On the manner of proceeding in cases of the crime of solicitation

(This text is) to be diligently stored in the secret archives of the Curia as strictly confidential. Nor is it to be published nor added to with any commentaries.

3. By the name of Ordinaries of the place are understood to be, each for his own territory, the residential bishop, abbot or prelate nullius, the administrator, any vicar or

Prefect Apostolic, (and, in the absence of these aforementioned (dignitaries), those who succeed then in power in the meanwhile by the prescription of law or from approved constitutions (Canon 198, 5 1) (This norm does not apply), however, to the vicar general, except from his [having been] specially delegated.

4. The Ordinary of the place in these cases is the judge even for regulars (religious], even though exempt. It is indeed strictly prohibited for their superiors to interpose themselves in cases pertaining to the Holy Office (Canon 501, 1 2). However, having safeguarded the right of the Ordinary, there is nothing to prevent superiors themselves³ if by chance they have discovered (one of their] subjects delinquent in the administration of the sacrament of Penance, from being able and having the obligation of being diligently watchful over those same persons, and, even having administered salutary penances, to admonish and correct, and, and, if the case demands it, to remove him from some ministry. They will also be able to transfer him to another (assignment], unless the Ordinary of the place has forbidden it because he has already accepted the denunciation and has begun the inquisition.

5. The Ordinary of the place can either supervise these cases himself or commit their acceptance to an ecclesiastic who is serious and of a mature age. But (they may not (commit such cues] on an habitual basis or for the entire group of these cases, but must delegate as often as needed (toties quoties) for cases taken singly and through writing, saving the prescription of Canon 1613, § 1.

6. Although, as a rule, a single judge, by reason of its secrecy, is prescribed for cases of this type, it is not forbidden, however, for the Ordinary in the more difficult cases to approve one or two assessors and counsellors, selected from the synoda³. judges (Canon 1575) or even to three judges, likewise chosen from the synodal judges, to hand over the case to the judges to be handled with the mandate of proceeding collegially according to the norm of Canon 1577.

7. The promoter of justice, the defender of the accused and the notary, priests who are fittingly serious, of mature age, of integrity, doctors in. canon ++7+tlaw or otherwise skilled (in canon law] and worthy because of their zeal for justice (canon 1589), and not found to be at any disadvantage toward the accused, which Canon 1613 treats, are to be nominated in writing by the Ordinary. The promoter of justice, however (who can be different from the promoter of justice of the Curia) (can be appointed] for the entire series of cases. The defender of the accused, however, and the notary are to be appointed each time for each case -(toties quoties). Nor is the accused prohibited from proposing a defender seen as favorable to him (Canop 1655), who, however, is to be a priest and approved by the Ordinary. -

8. Sometimes (this refers to his own location), the intervention (of the promoter of justice] is required, and, in the case where he has not been cited, unless by chance even if not cited he is still present (at the process], the Acts must be considered (totally] invalid. But, if, however,

2

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

++5++

INSTRUCTION

On the manner of proceeding in cases of the crime of solicitation

(This text is) to be diligently stored in the secret archives of the Curia as strictly confidential. Nor is it to be published nor added to with any commentaries.

he has been legitimately cited and is not present at some [parts of the] acts, the acts indeed are valid, but afterwards [those acts] will be totally subject to his examination so that he is able to comment upon all of them either in words or in writing and to propose what he has judged to be necessary or opportune (Canon 1587).

9. It is fit that the notary, on the other hand, is present at all Acts under pain of nullity and to note down with his own hand or at least to affix his signature [to the aforesaid Acts] (Canon 1558, § 1). Because of the special character of these procedures, however it is necessary for the Ordinary to dispense from the presence of the notary, though because of a reasonable excuse in the acceptance, will be noted in its own place, of the denunciations and also in the expenditure of the degrees of attention or care expected of the notary in a given situation, as they say, in pursuing and examining the witnesses inducted [into the case].

10. Minor helpers are to be used for nothing unless it is absolutely necessary; and these are to be chosen, in so far as possible, from the priestly order; always, however, they are to be of proved faithfulness and mature without exception. But it must be noted that, if, when necessity demands it, they can be nominated to accept certain acts, even if they are non -subjects living in another territory on Ordinary of that territory [can] be interrogated (Can. 1570, § 2), observing, of course, all of the cautions treated as above and in Canon 1613.

11. Because, however, what is treated in these cases has to have a greater degree of care and observance so that those same matters be pursued in a most secretive way, and, after they have been defined and given over to execution, they are to be restrained by a

perpetual silence (Institution of the Holy Office , February 20 , 1867, n. 14), each and everyone pertaining to the tribunal in any way or admitted to knowledge of the matters because of their office, is to observe the strictest ++77++ secret, which is commonly regarded as a secret of the Holy Office, in all matters and with all persons, under the penalty of excommunication latae sententiae, ipso facto and without any declaration [Of such a penalty] having been incurred and reserved to the sole person of the supreme pontiff, even to the exclusion of the Sacred Penitentiary, are bound to observe [this secrecy] inviolably. Indeed by this law the Ordinaries are bound ipso jure or by the force of their own proper duty. The other helpers from the power of their oath which they must always take before they undertake their duties. And these, then, are delegated, are interpolated, and are informed in their absence by means of precept in the letters of delegation, interpellation, [or of] information, imposing upon them with express mention of the secret of the Holy office and the aforementioned censure.

12. The aforementioned oath, the formula for which is to be found in the appendix of this instruction (Form A), must be used (by those, obviously, who will use it habitually, once for all; by those, however, who are deputed only for some determined piece of business or case, as often as required (toties quoties), in the presence of the ordinary or his delegate done upon the gospels of god (also by priests) and not otherwise and with the added promise of fulfilling faithfully their duty, to which, however, the excommunicated, mentioned above is not extended. There must be an avoidance, moreover, by those who are set over those involved in this cases, lest anyone be admitted to a knowledge of the matters from helpers, unless in some way a party or an office to be performed by that person necessarily requires a knowledge of these matters.

3

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

13. The oath of keeping the secret must be given in these cases also by the accusers or those denouncing [the priest] and the witnesses. To none of these, however, is there subjection to the censure, unless by chance toward these same persons some censure has been expressly threatened upon the person himself, for his accusation, his deposition of his violation (Excussionis?) [of such] by act. The accused, however, should e most seriously warned that even he, with all [the others], especially when he observes the secret with his defender, is under the penalty of suspension adivinis in case of transgression to the incurred ipso facto.

14. Finally, as for the publishing, the language, the confirmation, the custody of and the accidental nullity, in every way [these matters] must be observed which are prescribed by

Canons 1642-43, 379-80-82 and 1680 respectively.

++9++

TITLE NUMBER ONE

THE FIRST KNOWLEDGE OF THE CRIME

15. Since the crime of solicitation takes place in rather rare decisions, lest it remain occult and unpunished and always with inestimable detriment to souls, it was necessary for the one person, as for many persons, conscious of that [act of solicitation], namely, the solicited penitent, to be compelled to reveal it through a denunciation imposed by positive law. Therefore:

16. "According to the Apostolic constitutions and especially of the constitution of Benedict XIV *Sacramentum Poenitentiae* of June 1, 1914, the penitent must denounce the accused priest of the delict of solicitation in confession within a month to the Ordinary of the place or to the Holy Congregation of the Holy office; and the confessor must, burdened seriously in conscience, to warn the penitent of this duty." (Canon 904).

17. Moreover, according to the mind of Canon 1935 anyone of the faithful can always denounce the delict of sollicitaion, of which he will have had a certain knowledge; also, the obligation of denunciation urges as often as the person is bound to it from the natural law itself because of the danger to faith or religion or other imminent public evil.

18. The faithful, however, who knowingly have disregarded the obligation to denounce the person by whom he was solicited, against the prescription (related above) of Canon 904, within a month, falls into a excommunication reserved *latae sentential*, not to be absolved unless after he has satisfied the obligation or has promised seriously that he would so" (Can. 2386, § 2).

19. The duty of denunciation is a personal one and is to be fulfilled regularly by the person himself who has been solicited. But if he is prevented by the most serious difficulties from this, then either by letter or by another favourable to him should approach the Ordinary or the Holy Congregation of the Holy Office or the Sacred penitentiary, revealing all the circumstances (Instruction of the Holy office, February 20, 1967, n. 7).

20. Anonymous denunciations generally must be rejected. However, they can have supportive force or give the occasion for further investigations, if the particular circumstances of the matters involved render an accusation probable (Cfr. Can. 1942, § 2).

4

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

"EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE"

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

21. The obligation of denunciation on the part of the solicited penitent does not cease because of a spontaneous confession by the soliciting confessor done by chance, nor because of his being transferred, promoted, condemned, or presumably reformed and other reasons of the same kind. It ceases, however, at his death.

22. Sometimes it happens that the confessor or another ecclesiastic man is deputed to receive some denunciation, together with an instruction concerning the acts to be assumed for a judicial reason. Then that person is to be expressly warned that he should tell everything to the Ordinary or to the person whom he deputed, keeping no example or trace of it to himself.

23. In receiving the denunciations, this order is to be regularly observed: First, an oath to tell the truth while touching the Holy Gospels is to be given to the person making the denunciation; he should be interrogated according to the formula (Formula F), circumspectly, so that he narrates each and every circumstance briefly, indeed, and decently, but clearly and distinctly, pertaining to the solicitations he has suffered. In no way, however is it to be extracted from him whether he had consented to the solicitation. Rather, he should be expressly advised that he is not bound to manifest his consent which he perhaps gave. The responses [uninterrupted fashion], not only as to what pertains to the substance but even to the words themselves of the testimony (Canon 1778) should be consigned to writing. The entire instrument [of the testimony] should be read in a clear and distinct voice to the one denouncing [the priest], giving [the one denouncing the priest] the option of adding, suppressing, correcting, or varying [his testimony]. His signature is then to be exacted [from him], or, if he does not know how to write, or cannot, the sign of the cross. And with him still being present, there should be added the signature of the person receiving the testimony, and if he is present (Cfr. n. 9), of the notary. And, before he is dismissed, there should be presented to him, as above, an oath of observing the secret, threatening him, if there is a need, with an excommunication reserved to the Ordinary or to the holy See (Cfr. n. 13).

24. Even if, sometimes, for grave obstructing reasons always to be expressed in the acts, this ordinary practice cannot be observed, it is permitted that one or the other form deviate from the prescribed forms, saving however the substance, ++11++ be omitted. Thus, if the oath cannot be taken upon the holy Gospels, it can be given with some notion and also with words only. If the instrument of denunciation cannot be put into writing in an uninterrupted fashion, it can be written down at a more opportune time and place by the interviewer (the recipient of the denunciation) and then confirmed and signed by the person who is denouncing in the presence of the receiving the denunciation; if the instrument itself cannot be read to the denouncer, it can be given to him to read.

25. In more difficult cases, however, it is also permitted for the denunciation (the previous permission of the denunciator having been given, lest the sacramental seal seemingly be violated, and on a day convenient to each party and in the confessional itself, it is to be read or given to read, and is confirmed with an oath and with one's proper signature or the sign of the cross (unless to do this is in every way impossible). Concerning all of these things, as has been said in the number above, an express mention must always be made in the Acts.

26. Still, if an entirely serious case also that is also clearly extraordinary urges, then the denunciation can also be done through a written account by the one denouncing, as long as, however, it is before the Ordinary of the place or his delegate or notary, if he is present (Cfr. n. 9), and afterwards confirmed by an oath and signed. The same must be said concerning an informal denunciation, through a letter, for example, or given orally in an extra judicial manner.

27. Any denunciation once accepted, the Ordinary is bound most gravely to communicate this as soon as possible to the promoter of justice who must declare in writing, whether the specific crime of solicitation in the first sense is present in this case or not. Within ten days he must submit the matter to the Holy Office.

5

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

28. If, on the other hand, the Ordinary and the promoter of justice agree together, or in some way the promoter of justice does not make his recourse to the Holy Office, then the Ordinary, if he has decreed that the specific delict of solicitation was not present, should order the Acts to be put in the secret archives, or he should use his right and duty according to the nature and gravity of the things that have been denounced. If, however, he believed that they were present, then he should proceed to the inquisition (Cfr. Can. 1942, § 1).

++12++

TITLE NUMBER TWO

THE PROCESS

Chapter 1 - The Inquisition

29. When the knowledge concerning the crime of solicitation is known, first through the denunciations, a special inquisition must be pursued “so that it may become clear whether and on what foundation the imputation rests” (Canon 1939, § 1); and this by the fact even more so, since a secret, and direct testimonies concerning [solicitation], especially from the hurt party, can only rarely be obtained.

Once the inquisition is open, and if the denounced priest is a religious, the Ordinary can prevent him from being transferred before the conclusion of the process.

For the most part, there are three areas which such an inquisition must cover, and they are:

- a) the past history of the denounced
- b) the consistency of the denunciation
- c) other persons solicited by the same confessor or, however conscious of the crime, whether any of them, as not rarely happens, have been persuaded [to make the

denunciation] by those denouncing.

30. Therefore, as to what pertains to the first letter (a) , the Ordinary at the same time as he has accepted some denunciation of the crime of solicitation, if the one denounced, whether from the secular clergy or is a regular (cfr. n. 4), with residence in his territory, should try to find out from the archives whether other accusations against him are on record, even of a different type; and, if by chance he had previously been living in other territories, he should seek, even from the respective Ordinaries, and, if [he is a] religious, also from the regular superiors, whether they have anything which can aggravate the situation in any way. But he will accept these documents, referring to them in the Acts as accumulated together whether for a judgement, by reason of content [continental] or association of causes [connexio] (cfr. Canon 1567), and thus all the matters will be brought forward together; ++3++or for the establishment and consideration of an aggravating circumstance of recidivism according to the sense of Canon 2208.

31. If the whole matter concerns a denounced person who does not have residence in his territory, the Ordinary should transmit all the acts to the Ordinary of the one who has been denounced, or, if he does not know who this might be, [he will transmit all the acts] to the Supreme Holy Congregation of the Holy Office, reserving the right, in the meanwhile, to deny to deny to the denounced priest the faculty of exercising the ecclesiastical ministries in his own diocese or of revoking them already by chance concede to him, in the event that he approaches [the Ordinary for these faculties] or returns [to the diocese of the Ordinary].

32. As to what pertains to the second letter (b) , the importance of each denunciation, of their qualities and of the circumstances must be weighed seriously and accurately so that it is evident how they themselves merit belief. It is not sufficient that [this be done] in any way whatsoever , but it is necessary that this become known by means of an established and a judicial form; this customary is signified in the tribunal of the Holy Office by the phrase “diligentias peragere” [to undertake all the required formalities].

6

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”
ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION
The Vatican Press, 1962

33. In order to arrive at this purpose [of undertaking all the required formalities], as soon as the Ordinary shall have accepted any denunciation of the crime of solicitation, either personally or through a priest, he will summon, either personally or through a priest delegated to do so, two witnesses (he summons them separately and with appropriate circumspection) and with appropriate circumspection) two witnesses, in so far as it is possible, from the rank of the ecclesiastics . But it is far better, above any exception, to summon persons, who are familiar with both the one denounced and the one denouncing. These persons, with the notary present (cfr. n. 9), who is to put the interrogation and responses in writing, [are put] under the sanctity of an oath to tell the truth and to observe its secret nature, accompanied by the threat, if it seems necessary, of excommunication reserved to the Ordinary of the place or to the Holy See (cfr. n. 13). He will interrogate them (Formula G), concerning the life, morals and public

reputation both of the one denounce and of the one denouncing, [They will be asked] whether they think that the one denouncing is worthy of credence; or whether there has ever been any case of hatred, grudge or reason for enmity between the one denouncing and the denounced person.

34. If the denunciations are many in number, there is nothing to prevent the same [character] witnesses to be used for all or [to use different] witnesses, always being careful to have a double testimony as to the denounced and the denouncer.

35. If two witnesses cannot be found where each individual knows both the denounced and the denouncer, or if they cannot be interrogated at the same time without the danger of scandal ++14++ or without detriment to the good name concerning him, then arrangements to be made, so that two persons, by means of a divided [dimindiatæ] [testimony], namely, interrogate two witnesses only about the denounced and another two only about the individual denouncers. In this case, however, it will be necessary to inquire elsewhere as to whether hatred, enmity or any other human disaffection against the denounced [priest] was the case.

36. If even the divided cannot be pursued, or because capable witnesses cannot be found or because scandal or detriment has to be feared and rightly so, there is the possibility of substituting, cautiously, however, and prudently, [for the witnesses] with extra judicial information about the denounced and the ones denouncing and their mutual personal relationships, with [all of this] put into writing; or [the same results can come about] also through supportive proofs which corroborate or waken the accusation.

37. This [article] , then, pertains to the third letter (c). If in the denunciations, which happens not rarely, some persons are influenced, perhaps also solicited, or others who can [simply] bring forward testimony concerning some type of reason. All of those people must be examined severally (that is, separately) according to the judiciary formula [below.] (Formula 1). First of all, they must be interrogated through general matters, and then, by degrees, as the matter evolves, arriving at the particulars, whether and how they had really been solicited , or did they know or hear that other persons had been solicited (Instruction of the Holy Office, February 20, 1867, n. 9).

38. The greatest circumspection must be used in inviting these persons to this interview; for it will not be always opportune to bring them to a public place such as the chancery, especially if these are girls who are being subject to the examination, married woman, or those who are domestics. If those who are to be examined live either in monasteries, in hospitals or pious homes for girls, then, the particular [persons] should be summoned with great dilligence and on different days according to circumstances (instruction of the Holy office, July 20, 1890).

39. What was said above about the wa to receive denunciations, will also be applied, changing what has to be changed [mutatis mutandis] , to the examination of the persons who have been brought forward.

++15++

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES
"EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE"
ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION
The Vatican Press, 1962

40. [If the examination of these persons, who corroborate each other by positive evidence, and because of which examinations there exists [therefore] either an arrangement priest or another person weighed down [with some accusations], then the denunciations which are true and strictly speaking denunciations and all the rest of the information about these [denunciations] are pursued regarding the qualification of the crime, regarding the resumption of the presiding acts and of the resumption of the efforts to be taken in accordance with what is prescribed above.

41. Once, however, these matters are taken care of, the ordinary is to communicate the Acts to the promotor of justice, who will see now whether all the procedures [actions] have been performed correctly or not. And, if he thinks that there is nothing against their acceptance, he should declare the inquisitorial process closed.

Chapter II : Canonical Directive and the and the Admonition of the accused.

42. When the inquisitorial process has been closed, the Ordinary, having heard the promotor of justice, should proceed as follows, namely:

a) If it is evident that the denunciation totally lacks foundation, he should order this to be declared in the Act, and the documents of the accusation must be destroyed;

b) If the indications of the crime are vague and indeterminate or uncertain, he should order that the same Acts be put into the archives to be taken up again if something else happens in the future;

c) if, however, there are indications of a crime serious enough but not yet sufficient to institute an accusatorial process, as especially in the cases where only one of the denunciations are had, where, indeed, [the regular process was followed] with diligence but were not corroborated by any of insufficient proofs (cfr. n. 36), or even many [proofs] but with uncertain procedures or procedures that are deficient, he should order that the accused be admonished, according to the different [types of]of cases (Formula M) the first or second [time?], paternally, seriously or most seriously, according to the norm of Canon 2307

Adding, if necessary, an explicit threat of the trial process, should some other new accusation is laid upon [the accused]; the acts, as above, should be kept in the archives and in meantime a check should be kept on the morals of the accused (Canon 1946, § 2, n. 2):

d) If then certain or at least probable arguments to institute the accusation are present,

he should order the accused to be cited and be subjected to the matters [which are prescribed for this trial].

++16++

43. The admonition, concerning which treatment is made in the proceeding number with the letter (c), is always to be given secretly; it can be done, however, through a letter or by an intermediary, but in each case, it must be clear from some document to be kept in the secret archives of the curia (cfr. Canon 2309, § 1 and 5), adding the information about the manner in which the accused accepted it.

44. If, after the same admonition, other accusations against the same accused take place concerning solicitations, preceding the admonition itself, the Ordinary should see, according to his own choice and conscience, whether the first admonition should be considered sufficient or whether he should proceed to a new admonition or even to further measure (Ibidem, § 6).

8

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES
“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”
ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION
The Vatican Press, 1962

45. It is the right of the Promotor of Justice to appeal and to have recourse for a accused against the canonical prescriptions of this kind to the holy congregation of the Holy Office within ten days from their dissemination or intimation. In this case, the acts of the case will have to be transmitted to the same Holy Congregation according to the prescription of Canon 1890.

46. These citations, however, even if put into effect, do not extinguish the penal action. And therefore, when other accusations by chance take place, a method will be followed concerning these matters which also have given cause to the said canonical instructions.

Chapter III - The decrees for the accused persons

47. When once there is a sufficiency to institute an accusation, as was said above in number 42 (d), arguments should be made openly, and the Ordinary, having heard the promotor of justice and having observed everything, in so far as the particular nature of those cases allows, which is stated concerning the citation and denunciation of judicial acts in book IV, Title VI, Chapter II, of the code, shall issue a decree (Formula 0) concerning the accused in the presence of the Ordinary or before a judge delegated by himself (cfr. N. 5), citing [him] for crimes introduced and brought against him, which in the form of the Holy Office are said in unclassical parlance “ Reum constitutes subiicere” [to subject the accused to indictment] ; and he will take care to bring his information to the

accused himself in accordance with canonical principles.

48. The judge should paternally and gently exhort the accused, who has now been cited, when he appears, and before the indictments are formally begun, to confession, and, when he has consented to these exhortations, the judge, having summoned the notary or ++17++even, if he has found it more opportune (cfr. N. 9) without his intervention, can receive the confession.

49. In this case, if the confession is found corroborated by the acts and substantially complete, a vow first having been taken, the promoter of Justice puts the case in writing, omitting the other formalities (see below, in Chapter IV), and he will be able to conclude [all of this] with a definite decision, having given, however, to the accused the option of accepting the decision itself or the petitioning to have the regular and complete process carried out to the end.

50. If, however, on the other hand, the accused has denied the crime, or has made a confession that is not substantially integral, or has summarily refused the decision in view of his confession, the judge, with the notary present, should read him the decree by which he declares, concerning which paragraph 47 speaks, and the deliberations are then open.

51. The trial opened, the judge can, having heard the Promoter of Justice according to the mind of Canon 56 1956 , suspend the accused respondent either from exercising any sacred ministry at all or only from hearing the sacramental confessions of the faithful up until the time of the judgment. If, however, by chance he thinks that [the accused] can impose fear upon the witnesses or secretly instigate them [to thwart the trial] or in any way impede the course of justice, he can also, having also heard the promoter of justice, order that he go to a predefined location and remain there under special vigilance (Canon 1957). And, on the other hand, [however], each decree of this type is not given a remedy in law (Canon 1958).

9

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES
“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”
ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION
The Vatican Press, 1962

52. These things, having been taken care of, there should be a procedure to present

the accusation to the person accused, according to formula P, having cautiously and most diligently made sure that the person of the accused and especially of those denouncing him be not revealed, and, on the part of the accused, that he in no way violate the sacramental seal. Now if something in the surge of speech slips out which seems to savor of either a direct or indirect violation of the seal, the judge should not permit this to be referred to the acts by the notary; and if, by chance, it has been inconsiderately [put into the acts], he should order, as soon as he notices it, to be completely deleted. In every way the judge is to remember that it is never right for him to bind the accused by an oath to tell the truth (cfr. Canon 1744).

53. The indictment of the accused having been completed in all matters and the acts having been seen and approved by the Promoter of Justice, the judge is to issue a decree concerning the conclusion of the case (Canon 860), and, if by chance he is a delegated judge, he should transit all the papers of the proceedings] to the Ordinary.

54. If it happens, however, that the accused remains contumacious, or, for some grave reason the indictments cannot be pursued in the diocesan curia, the Ordinary, saving to himself the right of suspending the accused *adivinis*, should defer the entire case to the Holy Office.

Chapter IV - The Discussion of the Case, the definitive decision, and the Appeal

55. The ordinary, having received the acts, unless he wishes himself to proceed to the definitive decision, should delegate the judge (cfr. n. 5), another one, in so far as it can be done, different from the one who conducted the inquisition or the indictment (cfr. Canon 1941, § 3). The judge, however, whoever he is, whether the Ordinary or his delegate, should designate, according to his prudent decision a space of time for the defender a defense and to tender this in a double copy, one copy to be given to the judge himself and the other copy to the Promoter of Justice (cfr. Canons 1862-63-64). However, the promotor of justice, within a time period likewise previously established by the judge, should tender in writing his own inquiry (*requisitorium*) , as they now call it.

56. Still, a congruent time having been interposed (Canon 1870) the judge, according to his conscience, informed from the acts and from the proofs (Canon 1869), will pronounce a definitive decision, either a condemnatory decision, if he is certain of the crime, an acquittal, if he is certain of his innocence; or an abandonment of the charges, if he is invincibly doubtful because of the lack of proofs.

57. The decision is rendered according to the respective formulas connected to this instruction and will have been put in writing, with the addition of an executory decree (Canaon 1918). First of all, the promotor of justice having been notified beforehand, the decision must be solemnly made known to the accused, who has been cited for this by the judge who is presiding at the Tribunal, with the notary present. If, however, the accused, rejecting the citation, has not appeared, the intimation of the decision should be made through letter, having obtained exact testimony of its reception through the public post office.

58. Both the accused, if he thinks he has been [wrongly treated], and the promotor of justice have the right of appealing from this decision to the Supreme Tribunal of the Holy

Office, according to the prescription of Canon 1879 and following within ten days from the solemn notification of the same; and the appeal of this type has the effect of suspending the decision [suspensivo], but not so if it is given (Cfr. n. 51) for a suspension from the hearing ++9++of sacramental confessions or from exercising a sacred ministry.

10

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

59. The appeal having been made, the judge must transmit an authentic copy of the original itself of all the Act of the case to the holy office, as quickly as it can be done, adding information as necessary or as he has judged to be opportune (Canon 1890).

60. As for the complaint, then, of nullity, as sometimes might occur, let those details prescribed by Canons 1892-97 be observed to the last detail. However, what pertains to the execution of the decision, those prescriptions should also, be observed, according to the nature of these cases, as is found in Canons 1920-24 .

++20++

TITLE NUMBER THREE

PENALTIES

61. “He who has committed the crime of solicitation..., should be suspended from the celebration of mass, and from the hearing of sacramental confession or even, according to the gravity of the delict, should be declared incapable of accepting them. He should be deprived of all benefices and dignities, of his active and passive voice, and be declared incapable if all these [honours and capacities], and in the more grievous cases also be subjected to reduction [to the lay state]. Thus states the Code in Canon 2368, § 1.

62. For a correct and practical application of this cannon, in penalties decreed against priest convicted of the crime of solicitation with an equal regard for the mind of Canon 2218, § 1, these matters, especially for estimating the gravity of the crime, should be kept before ones eyes, namely: the number of persons solicited and their condition, as, for example, if they are minors in age or especially consecrated through religious vows to god; the form of solicitation, if perhaps, especially, it is joined with false teaching or false mysticism; the turpitude of the acts not only formal but also material and especially the

connection of solicitation with other delicts; the length of the obscene conversation [between the parties]; the repetition of the crime, the recidivism after his admonition, and the obstinate malice of the solicitor.

63. To the greatest penalty of degradation, there can be added for a religious who is accused the reduction to the status of lay-brother. This is only then imposed when, having weighed everything, it evidently appears that the accused, immersed in the depths of malice in the abuse of his sacred ministry, combined with the grave scandal that is harmful to the faithful and their souls, exists to such a degree of foolhardiness and habit, so that there is no hope, humanely speaking, or almost no hope, of this amendment that is evident any more.

64. On top of the penalties properly imposed, in order to obtain the effect of these penalties more fully and securely, there will be supplementary sanctions in cases of this type, namely:

++21++

a) Upon all accused persons judicially convicted there should be interposed congruous, to the degree of the faults, and salutary penances, not in substitution for the penalties properly speaking in the sense of Canon 2312, § 1, but as a compliment [to them], and among these (cfr. Canon 2313 especially spiritual exercises for some days in some religious house to be performed with suspension, during these times, from the celebration of mass.

11

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES
“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”
ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION
The Vatican Press, 1962

b) Upon the accused convicted he who has confessed, moreover, there should be imposed a abjuration, according to the different cases, if there is a light or strong suspicion of heresy into which because the nature of the crime soliciting priests fall into, or even of formal heresy if by chance the crime of solicitation has been joined to false dogma.

c) Those who are in danger of falling back [into their formal ways], and therefore of becoming greater recidivists should be submitted to particular vigilance (Canon 2311).

d) As often as, in the prudent judgement of the Ordinary, it seems necessary for the amendment of the delinquent, for the removal of the near occasion [of soliciting in the future], or for the prevention of scandal or reparation for it, there should be added a prescription for a prohibition of remaining in a certain place (Canon 2301).

e) Then, when concerning the absolution of an accomplice, as this is outlined in the constitution *Sacramentum Poenitentiae*, there is no indication at all in the external forum,

and, therefore, of the sacramental seal, there can be reason to add at the end of the condemnatory sentence an admonition to the accused that, if he has by chance absolved his accomplice, he should quiet his conscience by having recourse to the sacred Penitentiary.

65. According to the norm of Canon 2236, § 3, all of these penalties, as they have been applied once by the judge ex officio, cannot be remitted except by the Holy See through the Supreme and Sacred Congregation of the Holy office.

++22++

TITLE IV

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

66. Whenever an Ordinary immediately accepts a denunciation of the crime of solicitation, he should not omit telling this to the Holy Office. And if by chance he treats of a priest whether secular or religious having residence in another territory, he should transmit at the same time (as already has been stated above, n. 31) to the Ordinary of the place, where the denounced is actually staying, or, if the address is not known, he should send to the Holy office an authentic copy of the denunciation itself with the procedures, in the best manner possible, with the opportune information and declaration.

- 67. Any Ordinary who has proceeded correctly against some priest who is soliciting, should not omit informing the Holy Congregation of the Holy office, and, if it is a matter in which a religious is involved, also the general Superior concerning the outcome of the case.

If any priest condemned of the crime of solicitation from hearing sacramental confessions but not from sacred preaching happens to go to another territory to preach, the Ordinary a quo should immediately warn the Ordinary ad quem of the things that preceded that person and of his judicial status.

69. If any priest suspended in a case of solicitation from hearing, sacramental confessions but not from sacred preaching happens to go to another territory to preach, the Ordinary of this territory should be reminded by the prelate of the accused, whether secular or religious, that he cannot be utilized for hearing sacramental confessions.

12

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

70. All these official communications shall always be made under the secret of the Holy Office; and, since they concern the common good of the church to the greatest degree, the precept of doing these things obliges under serious sin [sub gravil].

++23++

TITLE V

THE WORST CRIME

71. By the name of the worst crime is understood at this point a signification of any obscene external deed, gravely sinful, in any perpetrated by a cleric or attempt with person of his own sex.

72. Those things that have been stated concerning the crime of solicitation up to this point are also valid, changing only those things necessary to be charged by their very nature, for the worst crime, if someone by chance in the presence of the Ordinary of the place, concerning which (which may god prevent) happens to be accused, having accepted the obligation of the denunciation from the positive law of the church, unless perhaps it has been joined with the crime of solicitation in sacramental confession. In decreeing penalties, however, against delinquents of this type, besides those which are found spoken of above, and they should also be kept before once eyes (Canon 2359, § 2).

73. To have the worst crime, for the penal effects, one must do the equivalent of the following: any obscene, external act , gravely sinful, perpetrated in any way by a cleric or attempted by him with youths of either sex or with brute animals (bestiality).

74. Against accused clerics for these crimes, if they are exempt religious, and unless there takes place the same time the crime of solicitation, even the regular superior can proceed, according to the holy canons and their proper constitutions, either in a administrative or judicial manner. However, they must communicate the judicial decision pronounced as well as the administrative decision in the more serious cases to the Supreme Congregation of the Holy Office.

++24++

FROM THE AUDIENCE OF THE HOLY FATHER, MARCH 16, 1962

Our Most Holy Father Father John XXIII, in an audience granted to the most eminent Cardinal Secretary of the Holy Office on March 16, 1962, deigned to approve and confirm this instruction, ordering upon those to whom it pertains to keep an observe it in the minutest detail.

At Rome, from the office of the Sacred Congregation, March 16,. 1962.

Place of the seal
Cardinal Ottaviani

A.

13

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

++25++

APPENDIX

FORMULAS TO BE USED ACCORDING TO THE CIRCUMSTANCE

(omitting other matter which are found in various places among the authors)

++27++

FORMULA A

THE FORMULA FOR TAKING AN OATH TO EXERCISE ONE’S OFFICE FITHFULLY AND
TO OSERVER THE SECRET OF THE HOLY OFFICE

In the name of the lord.

I...appearing before....and touching the most holy Gospel of God placed before me, swear and promise to exercise my duty faithfully ...likewise, under the pain of excommunication late sentential ipso facto and to be incurred without any declaration, from which outside of the moment of death, I can be absolved by no one, except by the Holy Father, excluding even the cardinal of the penitentiary, and, under other most serious penalties, at the disposition of the supreme pontiff to be inflicted upon me in the case of transgression, I

promise sacredly, vow and swear, to observe inviolably the secret in all matters and details which will take place in exercising the aforesaid duty, excepting precisely those matters at the end and at the completion of this negotiation [or of these negotiations] which can be legitimately published. Further, I shall observe the secret absolutely and in every way with all who have no legitimate part in the treatment of this same matter [or, who are not constricted by the same sworn bond]; nor [will I ever], directly or indirectly, by means of a nod, or of a word, by writing, or in any other way and under whatever type of pretext, even for the most urgent and most serious cause [even] for the purpose of a great good, commit anything against the fidelity to the secret, unless a particular faculty of dispensation has been expressly given to me by the Supreme pontiff.

++28++

FORMULA B

Formula of Renunciation (Abjuration)

I (name, family name, etc. of the one abjuring, which, if he is a religious, he should add his name, etc. which he used in the world) the son of (name of the father), being ...years of age, and personally brought to trial [arraigned], and, having genuflected before you (name, family name, qualities, etc. of the person who is to receive the abjuration), and having before me and touching with my hand the most holy Gospels and knowing that no one can be saved unless he believes what the Holy Catholic and Apostolic Roman Church holds, believes, preaches, professes and teaches, I confess and I am sorry that I have erred seriously against [that church] through the abuse and profanation of the sacrament of penance [and through the profession and doctrine of false dogma].

14

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

Now, sorrowful and penitent for the aforesaid [errors and heresies, persuaded about their falsity and of the truth of the Holy Catholic faith), and I detest [in the same way in general all other errors and heresies contrary to the Holy Catholic and Apostolic Roman Church) and at the same time humbly accept the promise faithfully to implement all the penances given to my by R.P.D. [The reverent dignitary?]. . . that have already been imposed or will be imposed: and if I have not stood firmly in some matter despite these promises and oaths of mine (May god prevent this) I subject my self to all the penalties and castigations which have been stated and promulgated by the sacred canons and other general constitutions against delinquents [who have acted] in this way. Thus, may god help me and these Holy

Gospels of His, which I touch with my hand.

I...the aforesaid have abjured, sworn, promised and obligated myself as above, and in testimony [of my good faith] in this matter I have signed with my hand this written promise of my abjuration ++29++ which I have related orally with words (here is noted the place in which the abjuration has been made).

On this ...day of the month of...in the year...

Signature

After the absolution has been imparted, the one who received the abjuration and gave the absolution will put his signature here in the way it is noted in Formula C, which follows.

++30++

The Formula of Absolution

Once the penitent, kneeling on both knees and having first touched the Holy Gospels of God, has read and signed the formula of abjuration, [the bishop or his delegate] absolves him, wearing at least the purple stole, and, while sitting, will recite the psalm Miserere or De Profundis with the Gloria Patri.

Then, standing, he will say:

Kyrie, eleison Christe eleison, Kyrie, eleison.
Pater noster, secretly up to
And lead us not into temptation.
But deliver us from evil.
Save your people, Lord.
My god, they are hoping in you.
Lord, hear my prayer.
And let my cry come unto you.
The Lord be with you.
And with your spirit.

FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES
“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”
ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION
The Vatican Press, 1962

Let us pray

God, of whom it is proper always to have mercy and to treat with forbearance, we suppliantly beseech you, that the compassion of your holiness absolve with clemency this servant of yours whom the shackle of excommunication binds. Through Christ, our Lord. Amen.

Then, again sitting down, he should absolve the penitent still kneeling before him with these words:

By the Apostolic authority which I exercise in this matter, I absolve you from the bond of excommunication, which you [perhaps] have incurred, and I restore you⁺⁺³¹⁺⁺ to the holy sacraments of the church, to the communion and unity of the faithful, in the Name of the Father + the Son, and the Holy Spirit. Amen.

With these acts, the one who has imparted the absolution should impose the salutary penances (for the most part [a penance] of reciting determined prayers, of performing some pious pilgrimage, of accomplishing other works of piety, of observing a particular fast, or of dispensing alms in pious causes, etc.), and finally, then, the formula of abjuration and he signs below in this way:

[In the execution of the orders R.P.D. (the reverend superior) (the name, etc. of the one delegating him)] the aforesaid (name, etc. , of the penitent) was administered by myself [the delegate] the abjuration concerning (e.g. formal or grave or light) ...and the salutary penances in the usual form of the church, these on the day and year given above.

So be it I (the signature of the person absolving the other)

(The delegate will transmit the formula [evidently this means the document itself] directly to him from whom he has received his delegation together with the instruction, and other letters also received, if he has any, keeping nothing at all for himself].

⁺⁺³²⁺⁺

FORMULA D

The formula of the Delegation to Receive a Denunciation

The ... day of the month of ... in the year ...

We delegate with these letter ... to receive [without the intervention of the notary], under the secret of the Holy Office and according to the attached instruction, the denunciation which the named person intends to make.

L. S.

The signature of the ordinary of the place who is delegating

(Formula E s connected to the letter).

16

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

++33++

The manner of Receiving the Denunciation Pertaining Particularly to Solicitation

[Note 1. Whatever words are included within the brackets are valid in the case in which the denunciation is received by the delegate, or respectively, without the intervention of a notary.]

If the delegate, however, having signified a serious reason , cannot observe this manner of receiving the denunciation, he should make recourse for some instruction from whom he has received the delegation.

The notary, if he is present, or who is to receive the denunciation will begin with these words or in words similar to these:

On the ...day of the month of...in the year...

On my own accord, I personally appeared before the undersigned (there should be written the name, the family name, etc. of that person who is to receive the [denunciation], who, if the notary is not present, should write: before me the undersigned) taken place in (here there are noted the place and the diocese where the person who is to receive the action [that is, the denunciation] lives) [Delegated specially only for this action by R.P.D. [The reverend person delegating?]...., as [will be seen] from his letter directed [to me] and given under the date (Let there be expressed on what day the letter itself was written) applying to the present situation] N.N. (there should be written his name, family name, the name of the father, the country of origin [that is nationality], age, situation, [no doubt the type of work the person does] and the home address of the person denouncing; and if this person is a religious, also the name the person was called by in the world) to whom, having made an oath to speak the truth, which he took having touched the Holy Gospels of God (which he must touch with his hands, even a priest) it

was explained as below, that is:

This person denouncing in ordinary language [he must declare that he knows that this faculty was obtained from the ordinary of the place to receive without intervention of the notary what he is about to relate to exonerate his conscience, and therefore because he cannot present himself to the Most Reverend Bishop concerning the just causes: then] he must continue to narrate, in words, however, discreet and contracted (brief) what pertains to the solicitations made to him or what ++34++ were the words, the writings, of the acts, accurately describing the place, time, occasion, times and singular circumstances, and whether in the act of confession either before or after the sacramental absolution these things took place. He must identify the confessional seat and the soliciting confessor himself, and in so far as he either does not know his name and family name or has forgotten it, he shall describe accurately the person of the man, noting distinctly all the characteristics, so that he might be recognized. He should note who receives the denunciation, that he should avoid interrogating the denouncing person whether he gave consent to the obscene deed in any way or refused, since the witness is not bound to manifest his defects; nay, the one denouncing is expressly advised that he is not bound to manifest consent if perchance he gave it. With these words written as they are narrated, and in so far as possible, in the same words of the one denouncing, what follows here, nor is anything more required.

The interrogation: Whether he knows or heard it said, that said N.N. (naming the person), the confessor, solicited other penitents to obscene things?

He responds: (if the response was affirmative, he will seek the name and the family name of the person and the source (cause?) of the knowledge) .

The interrogation: Concerning the good name of the aforesaid confessor N.N. with yourself as with others?

He responds:...

17

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

The interrogation: Whether he made the declarations from hate or from love, and from enmity or other general reasons, etc.

He responds: Correct (if he will say that he had denounced in order to exonerate his own conscience.)

If more than one month had past since the solicitation, moreover, there should be added:

The Interrogation: Why then did you delay the denouncing of the aforesaid matters to your ordinary and the exoneration of your conscience?

He responds:

All of these matters having been absolved, there should read to the denouncing person everything which was given in writing, or, having given a just reason in writing, a just cause in writing, the instrument should be given to him so that he may read it in the presence of him who receives the denunciation; all of these matters proofed and accepted, together with the corrections, additions and erasures, if there are some, ++35++ he is invited to write his signature below, and, having given an account of his taking an oath to observe the secret, he should be dismissed.

All of these matters will be described in these words:

Having these matter and having accepted them, the one denouncing having been dismissed has sworn to observe the secret, again touching the Holy Gospel of God (he swears an oath upon the gospel again); and in confirmation of what has been testified by word he writes his signature (or if he cannot write: since he cannot write, as he asserted, (let the cause be noted), he made the sign of the cross).

After the one denouncing here has signed or made the sign of the cross, the notary should sign, if he is present, in this way:

These are the acts signed by myself, the notary (and if he has been assumed only for this act: assumed only for this act) .

Finally, he signs who receives the denunciation. L.X S.

If, however, the notary was not present, then the one who receives the denunciation signs in this way:

These acts are signed by myself, N.N. [specially delegated only for this act by R.P.D. (the reverend delegating person) N.N.] .

[The delegate then delivers the entire act directly to him from whom he has received the delegation together with the instruction and the letters received, keeping nothing for himself] .

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”
ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION
The Vatican Press, 1962

++36++

FORMULA F

Formula of Delegation to Undertake the Investigation

A) TO UNDERTAKE THE COMPLETE INVESTIGATION

The...day of the month of...in the year....

We...ask you that you will take the customary diligence in pursuing [this investigation] according to the affixed instruction about false denunciation made by (for example, a woman or women).....

Against the priest.....by interrogating [them] separately, formally and under oath to tell the truth and observe the secret, two witnesses, in so far as is possible from the ecclesiastical body, but more important than anything else [to interview somebody] , who knows well both the denounced person and the one denouncing (or, if the denouncing are many in number, one and all denouncers). if you cannot find only two witnesses who know together the one denounced and each everyone denouncing, you will call many, as many namely, as it will be fitted so that there will be a double testimony as to the denounced and each one denouncing. An authentic copy of the acts, however, you shall transmit to us directly and in a safe way, together with the instruction and these letters, retaining nothing for yourself.

L.X S. The signature of the Ordinary of the place, the one delegating
(Formula G is joined to the letter)

B) TO UNDERTAKE PARTIAL INVESTIGATION

On the...day of the month of... in the year....

We...ask you to undertake the investigation according to the affixed instruction.....+
+37++by interrogating [them] separately, formally and under an oath to speak the truth and of observing the secret, two witnesses, in so far as is possible, from the group of ecclesiastics, as greater than any exception, who (e.g. the woman or women) know [them] more closely.

You will transmit an authentic copy, however, of the acts to us directly and in a safe way, together with the instruction and his letter, keeping nothing for yourself.

L.X S. Signature of the delegating Ordinary of the place

(To the letter is joined Formula H)

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

++38++

Way of undertaing the entire investigation (Note 1)

[Note: whatever is included between the brackets is valid in the case in which the work is dome by a delegate.]

One the...day of the month of...in the year of....

Having been summoned, this person personally came into the presence of my self, the undersigned, (let there be writted the place and diocese where he is located) [for this act only specially delegated by R.P.D....as [is evident] from the letters of the same delegating directed and giving to me on this date... (there should be expressed on what day the letter was written) binding to the present position].

N...N...(the name, family name and qualities of the respondent witness) who, having reported his taking his oath to tell the truth, which he gave (even if a priest), having touched the Holy Gospels of God, was by myself:

1. The Interrogation: Whether he knew the priest N.. N... (name, family name and qualities of the person denounced).

He resonded:.....

(let there be written in the language the witnesses use and his response).

2. The Interrogation: what is the lifestyle of this priest, what are his morals, what is the opinion of people [about him]?

He responds:....

3. The Interrogation: Whether he knew N....N... (name, family name, and qualities of the one denouncing, or, if there are many, of each of them).

He responds:....

4. The Interrogation: What is his (each of them) life-style, morals, and his opinion among the people?

++39++

He responds:...

5. The Interrogation: Whether he taught that he or she is worthy of faith or capable, on the other hand, of lying, calumniating in court and even of perjury?

He responds:...

6. The Interrogation: Whether he knows whether perhaps between him and the aforesaid priest there even existed any reason for hate or enmity?

Then, have duly read the work and brought him to take the oath of observing the secret, which he took as above, he is dismissed and, before he goes away, signs in confirmation of what has been stateda (or, if he cannot write: when he asserted that he cannot write (let the reason be noted), he makes the signs of the cross).

20

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

After the witness has signed here or made the sign of the cross, he signs that he received the testimony in this way:

These acts are signed by myself, N.N., [specially delegated only for this act].

L.X S.

[The delegate then directly transmits the act to him from whom whot he has received delegation together together with the instruction and the letter he received, keeping nothing at all with himself].

++40++

FORMULA H

The way of undertaking partial investigations (Note 1)

(Note 1. Anything included in the brackets is valid in the case where the investigation is done by a delegate).

On the...day of the month of....in the year...

Having been called personally there appeared before me the undersigned (let there be written the name, family name etc., of the person who is to do the activity) taking place in (let there be noted the place and diocese where he is to be found) [specially delegated only for this act by R.P.D....., as [can be seen] in the letter of that same person directed and given to me on this date (let there be expressed on what exact day the letter was written) attached to the present document. N...N...(name, family name and qualities of the respondent witness) who, having been brought to take the oath to tell the truth, which he does (even a priest) having touched God's Holy Gospels, performed this for me.

1. Interrogation: whether he knew (for example, the woman) N...N...?
(name, family name and qualities of the indicated person).

He responded:....(this should be written in the same language the witnesses uses for his response).

2. The Interrogation: what is the lifestyle, what are the morals, what is his reputation among the people?

He responded:....

3. Interrogation: Whether he thinks that he or she [or she] is worthy of credence or on the other hand thinks that he or she is capable of lying, calumniating in court or even of committing perjury?

He responds:....

4. The Interrogation: Whether he knows whether perhaps between him or her and the priest there exists or has existed a cause for hate or enmity?

He responds:....

Then, the act duly read to the witness, having signified his taking an oath to observe the secret, which he does as above, the witness will be dismissed, ++41++ and before he leaves, signs as a confirmation of what has preceded (or, if he cannot write: when he cannot write, as he asserted (let the cause be noted), he made the signs of the cross. After the witness signed here or made the sign of the cross he who received the testimony signed himself in this way:

These are acts done through me H...H...[especially delegated only for this act].
L.X.S.

[Then the delegate will transmit the act directly to him from whom he received the delegation together with the instruction and letter keeping nothing for himself].

ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

++42++

Way of Conducting an Examination Through Generalities

Note: Whatever appears within the brackets is valid in the case where the examination is by the delegate, or respectively, without the intervention of a notary.

If the delegate, however, having given a grave reason, cannot observe this way of administering an examination, he should recur to him from whom he received the delegation fro [further] instructions.

The notary, if he is present, otherwise, he who is to undertake the examination will begin the procedures in these or in similar words:

On the...day of the moth of...in the year...

By force of the decree of R.P.D. [The Most Reverend Bishop] (Let there be written the name, etc., of the Ordinary of the place) given on the date ofhaving been summoned there appeared before the undersigned (let there be written down the name, the family name, etc. of the person who is to receive the act, and who, if the notary is not present, will write: in the presence of myself and undersigned), taking place in (let there be noted the place and diocese where he is to bound who is to receive the action) [especially delegated only for this action by R.P.D....., as appears from his letter directed to me and given to me on the date (let there be expressed on what precise day the letter was written), this person, N.N. (here should be written the name, family name, father's name, homeland, age, condition and address of the person summoned; and, if he is a religious, also the name by which this person is known in the world), having been brought to take an oath to tell the truth, which he does touching God's Holy Gospels (which he must touch with his hand), was:

Asked: Whether he knows or imagines the reason for his being called for the present examination?

He responded:... (let there be written his response in that language which the summoned person uses).

Asked: ...For how many years have you been approaching the sacrament of penance?

He responded:...

Asked: Whether he always went to receive the sacrament of penance from the one and same confessor ++43++ or whether from many priests: moreover, whether he always went to receive the sacrament of penance in the one and same church?

He responded: ...

Asked: Whether from each of the priests to whom this person confessed he received holy admonitions and opportune instructions, which gave edification to the person being examined, and kept him from evil.

He responded:...

If the response was affirmative, that is, if he says that he had always been directed well, then he will be interrogated in the following manner:

Asked: Whether he knows or remembers if at any time it was said or heard that a certain confessor had not acted in such a holy and honest manner towards penitents, so that murmurs or even contemptible words against the confessor had been preferred: for example, had the person being examined heard similar things from one or from many penitents, and over the past year or over four or three months?

He responded:...

If after this interrogation and commentary the person being examined continues to deny, let the action be concluded with the usual formula, which appears at the bottom of this instruction.

But if there had appeared to be something against my confessor, according to those things concerning which he being asked, then he will be interrogated further as follows:

22

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

Asked: That he tell the name, family name, office, and age of the confessor, and the place or seat of his confession; or whether he was a secular or a religious priest, etc.

He responded:...

Asked: That he tell, in order, sincerely and clearly, using, however, discrete and constricted words, all of those things less than honourable which he had heard in the sacramental confession either before or after or on the occasion of confession: whether there had been something performed with him less than honest by nods, touches or action, etc., by the priest.

He responded:...

At this point, the judge solicitously will take care that the description is in the same words which the confessor used, the obscene words, seductions, the invitations to meet in some place for an immoral purpose, and all the other things which constitute the crime of solicitation, using the vernacular language for the answers which are to be sedulously and truthfully recorded ++44++ and, in so far as possible, with the same words in which they were offered; he should add the temperament of the person examined, if he notices that he seems impeded by too much fear or bashfulness from telling the truth, assuring him that everything will be kept under an inviolable secret. Then he should ask him the time from which the solicitations began, how they perdured, how often they were repeated, in what words or acts smacking of immoral purpose they had been expressed. He will diligently avoid asking about the consent of the person himself being examined with regard to the solicitation, and, even more, he should advise him expressly that he is not bound to manifest whether by chance he gave consent. Likewise, he will avoid any interrogation which he give evidence of a desire to know the sins of that person.

Asked: Whether he knows or hear it said that the aforesaid confessor had solicited

other penitents toward obscenities; and if affirmative, he should name them (and he will help give the name, family name, etc., or at least the better indications by which the other solicited person can be detected).

He responded:...

Asked: Whether the aforesaid person being examined, had given testimony out of love for justice and truth, or rather from another motive of enmity or of hate, etc.?

He responded:...

With all of this taken care of, there should be read to the person being examined everything that has been put down in writing, or, for a just cause expressed in the notes, the instrument [that is, the document upon which the notary as written the answers] should be given to him so that this person may read it to himself in the presence of the which accepted the examination; then, everything that has been approved and accepted by that person, together with the corrections, additions and erasures, if there are any, he should be invited to sign and led to take an oath to observe the secret, and that he should be dismissed. All of these matters shall be described in this words:

The accused, having received and accepted all these matters, was dismissed, having sworn to observe the secret, once again touching God's Holy Gospels (He will swear again in the Gospel Book) and, attestation of what he had stated, he signed it (or, if he cannot write: when he asserted that he could not write (let the cause be noted), he made the sign of the cross).

After the person being examined has signed here or has made the sign of the cross [on the document], the notary will sign, if he is present, in this way:

These acts are signed by myself, N.N., Notary (and if he has been authorized only for this action: authorized only for this action). L.X S.

Finally, he who been administered the examination will sign it. If, however the notary was not present, then the one who accepted the examination will sign in this way.

23

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

"EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE"

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

These acts are signed by myself, N.N., [especially delegated for this act only by R.P.D., N.N.].

[The delegate will then transmit the action [documentation for the lawsuit] directly to him from whom he received his delegation together with the instruction and the accepted letter, keeping nothing at all for himself].

++46++

FORMULA OF THE PROPOSAL TO BE MADE BY THE PROMOTOR OF JUSTICE;
THE COMPLETE INQUISITION

Having made a brief summary and inquiry about the reason of law and fact, the conclusion comes about through the promoter of justice, for example as followed, however, according to the circumstances:

Having considered everything, I think it must be decided that the priest...be warned (simply or correctly) - or - let the case be constituted in the curia, that is, the diocesan Curia, and let the case be under taken according to the law (meanwhile, however...and here there are added the canonical opportune provisions, if there are some that seem to need to be proposed to the promoter).

On the...day of the month of...in the year....

The signature of the promoter of justice

++47++

FORMULA M

FORMULA OF THE DECREE TO CONSTITUTE A PENAL REMEDY

We (name, and so on, qualities, etc...of the Ordinary of the place),
Having weighed the actions against the priest, N. N. (our diocese, abbacy, prelature, etc.)
about whom there is reported the crime of solicitation, we decree that the aforesaid priest,
N.N. : be admonished (paternally, gravely, etc. according to the diversity of the cases)
under the secret of the Holy Office.

If some resolution has to be added, and there is added:

And according to the resolution, the resolution is that...

These are the acts if...(the address of the Ordinary of the place) on the...day of the
month...of the year... L. X. S.

Ordinary of the Place

Signature of the

e of the Nota

Signatur

++48++

FORMULA M

The Method For Warning Abut the Crime of Solicitation

Concerning those who have been denounced once or twice concerning the horrible
crime of solicitation for the most parts, having taken the opportune efforts, it is decreed
that: They should be warned (simply or correctly) under the secrets of the Holy Office.
The person to whom belongs or is assigned the duty of imparting and admonition of this
type, will summon the denounced priest, with the proper circumspection, and he is to

impress upon him with serious words, more or less according to the circumstances and the tenor of the decision, but in a paternal and fatherly way, avoiding lest in any way, whether discreetly or indirectly, he reveal the one denouncing him, in these words:

24

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

“It has come to the ears of the Ecclesiastical authority that he, within the sacred tribunal of penance, not always acted as was befitting prudence and holiness, so that not without merit it must be feared lest he, with a rash effort, attempted to convert the sacrament itself of reconciliation into the ruin of souls: It is therefore greatly to his interest that he carefully avoid these things in the future, lest the ecclesiastical authority be compelled to proceed to more serious matters”.

Let there be observed, moreover, the secret of the Holy Office regarding all the matter and everyone to the greatest extent.

If the admonition is done through letter, the method of admonishing should be done in this way.

[The delegate, however, is to give this admonition, at an opportune time, informs him of whom he receives his delegation of the results, at the same time transmitting to him all documents, if he has any, and not keeping anything for himself.]

++49++

The Form of the Decree for the Arraignment

The formulas proposed here are not, as is evident, definitive: they can and must be varied according to the different circumstances. They are proposed therefore as an example.

A) TO INDICT SIMPLY

The reverend... to be indicted in the diocesan Curia about all the matters deducted against him and there should be a case according to law.

These are the acts [signed at] (the address of the Ordinary of the place)

On the ... day of the month of...in the year of...

Signature of the Ordinary of the place

Signature of the notary

B) TO INDICT, HAVING ADDED CANONICAL PROVISIONS

The reverend...is to be indicted in the diocesan Curia about all the matters brought up against him and let there be a trial according to law.

Meanwhile, however (for example, let him remain suspended from the celebration of

mass, or of exercising the sacred ministries and spiritual offices; he should leave this place...and go to the place..where he should remain under special vigilance, etc.).

These acts are signed (as above) on the ... day of the month of...in the year... L.X. S.

Ordinary of the Place

Signature of the

Signature of the Notary

Signature

25

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

++50++

Way of Indicting

N.B., according to the norm of article 52 he is not to bind the accused to take an oath to tell the truth.

The notary will begin the action:

“On the...day of the month of ...in the year

Having been summoned, The Reverend N.N. personally appeared before the undersigned (let there be written the name, family name, etc. of that person who is doing the indictment) [especially delegated for this action], who was:

Interrogated about his name, family name, parents. Homeland, age, condition, etc.

He answered:.. (The notary will write in the native language, and, in so far as he can, in the same words which the accused uses, his answers.)

Interrogated: Whether he knows or perhaps imagined the reason of his having been summoned?

He answered:... (and it will be continued in this way up until the end, noting down the single question and his answers to them).”

If the answer according to this interrogation has been affirmative, the judge will invite the accused to explain everything separated and sincerely; otherwise, he will admonish him

gravely, in order that, having been stricken by his own conscience, he would say whether perhaps he felt that he was burdened by any crime. And, if he then should respond affirmatively that he, as above, will invite him to confess his own fault with appropriate humility and sincerity, expressing the names of those who were delinquent with him and the words or facts and other circumstances of the matter which constitute the matter and individuality of the impetrated crimes.

And because it is difficult for him to be able to remember everything
From the beginning, the judge will be able to put aside the space of two or three days, during which the accused person can diligently examine in prayer and tears his own conscience, giving him the option of giving his confession in writing as well, which is the following indictment

++51++

The judge will formally receive, or if it is given in writing, he will accept from his hands the notebook in which it is contained and give it to the notary who will make a note of the matter, for example, in this way: The accused gave [me] a notebook [containing] his confession, as he asserted, having done it in writing, which began... (he will note the first word of the document), and finished with... (he will note the last words), and with I, accepting it, sign with the letter A (he marks the page with this or other letter of the alphabet) and I put it into the Acts." This method must be observed always as often as any document of any type received from the accused has to be inserted into the Acts.

After these, the directing judge will compare the confession that has been made either verbally or in writing with the denunciations existing in the Acts, and, if he will find in it nothing that is omitted or left out, having omitted the affirmations, he shall proceed to the last questions; if, however, he finds anything in these which the accused either did not confess at all or lacked integrity in confession, he will only make mention of it, as will stated below.

If, however, the matter still remains negative against the accused, the judge will interrogate him further whether he knows against what delicts the supreme tribunal is proceeding; if he does not know, he will enumerate the crimes of this type (heresy, solicitation to grave matter, the worst crime [of pediastry , the violation of the seal etc.) Then he will ask him whether he impetrated any of these crimes: if he responds affirmatively, he will invite him to a spontaneous confession, as before, otherwise he will read to him the decree by which a mandate has been issued that he be indicted.

26

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

"EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE"

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

Then he will order him to relate the story of his own life and career; where he was born, where he has been educated, whether he was promoted to any academic grades or other signs of honour, where he lives, what offices and duties he had been assigned and other matters of a similar nature.

Finally, he will ask of him whether he has any enemies, who they are and what is the cause of their enmity.

Having premised these general questions, the judge, before he address the single denunciations with the summoned accused, he will ask him about the particulars of the persons, places, and circumstances of the times brought out in the denunciation and what can demonstrate its probable truth or falsity: For example, where the place of the confessional is in the church or the rooms in the home of the priest; whether he receives the penitents before or after confession at home so that ++52++ he may impart counsel; whether he put books at their disposal, etc.; whether this took place that he should speak a long time with a woman at home or in the sacristy after confession and this with closed doors, whether it took place on such and such a day and in such and such a town or city, etc.

The judge will state to the accused -- always keeping secret the name of the one denouncing him -- each denunciation. But he will not, indeed, do so on a global or combined manner. He will bring up each and every denunciation distinctly in parts by reading them to the accused and then singly in sections such as has been revealed in each denunciation.

The judge will begin from the less serious words and deeds and slowly proceed to the more serious; nor will he omit proving also some saying or deed that is not criminal, if there is something borne out by the denouncers, so that, once the accused has admitted that, if perhaps then the accused is tainted, he can be shown that the criminal words or deeds have been so joined that the public authority of the church cannot consider some of these criminal words or deeds as true and others as false. These words and deeds will be brought forth to confirm each of the denunciations, and, should there be any, those the earnest efforts [diligent] ,” favourable to the one denouncing and not favourable to the one being denounced; “information” that is not favourable to him should not be thrown up against him. ‘information’, which is not held to be favourable to him.

By reason of association [connexio] or content [continental]’ the judge will also bring up the accused the crimes not pertaining to the Holy Office, for which the accused has been denounced and for which he has not yet gone into judgement.

Simultaneously, the counter arguments upon which the accused perhaps has relied, whether [based] upon subterfuges, evasions and meaningless responses, must be proved.

The declarations of all the denunciations having been completed, if there are indeed more denunciations and the accused remains negative, the judge should not omit to declare to the same accused that, not in conformity with his denials there stand more denunciations in number, distinct in time and reported by different persons, who, from reliable testimony are of good name, in every way worthy of credence; they are incapable of calumniating or of committing perjury; they are indeed unknown to each other, and hence conspiracy is impossible. Nor has enmity or an other human pathological state been added as the reason to accuse [the priest]. It is only in order to satisfy the ineluctable obligation that they have taken the counsel of their own conscience.

++53++

These things having been brought up, the judge will interrogate the accused as to what he himself feels about the sixth precept of the decalogue and the sacrament of penance; whether he thinks it is licit for the confessor to act in such a way with penitents, so that,

from certain documents (or, if he has confessed, from his own confession) it was proved that he had himself acted [in this way], whether he perhaps thinks that all [his actions were] in no way sinful; whether he was familiar with the Apostolic Constitution of s.m. Benedict XIV, which begins: "The sacrament of penance", and with the penalties which this constitution and the holy canons thereafter against the confessor in the sacred ministry who have abused their sacred ministry to the ruin of souls; and finally whether he can offer anything to exonerate himself.

27

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

"EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE"

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

After this, the judge will ask him whether he should continue this process here and now as being legitimate or on the other hand does the accused have an exception to make against it; whether he would be content to be assisted by a defender ex officio [from the tribuna] or whether he would wish to name his own defender for himself and, if he insists on some exception, whether he wants perhaps to have the examination of the denunciators repeated.

If he gives an affirmative answer to the last question, or, if in some way he has some [fact] to offer in his own defence because of which the witness must be heard (as, moreover, if a serious and something unexpected difficulty comes up), the arraignment should be suspended. It should be reconvened after the denouncing persons have been examined once more or the witnesses have been heard. From these persons the judge will elicit new depositions, and, having formally made the [second] inquisition, formerly begins anew the arraignment.

The attestations of the denunciations have been taken care of, the text of the denunciations must be given to the promotor of justice, who will scrutinize it and declare whether he has any notes to make about it or whether there are new statements or new steps that ought to be taken.

At the end of each session there shall be read to the accused everything that has been presented and in written for is read to the accused by the notary, and, once the accused has approved and accepted these statements, together with any corrections, additions and erasures, if these are any, he will be invited to write his signature; and, having been gravely warned about keeping the secret, the accused will be dismissed. The notary will describe all of this in these words: "After having received and accepted all of this, the accused, before being dismissed, was warned about keeping the secret and before he was to leave, he was to sign in confirmation of what had been."

++54++

After the accused respondent has signed, the notary will sign in this way: "these acts are signed by myself, N.N., notary (and if he has been authorized solely for this act: authorized only for this act)". Then the indicting judge will sign.

Since, however, there is a need for not only one single arraignment session to bring the many matters to their successful completion, but for many sessions, each one of these

sessions should be opened and closed in the same way. At each session, at the bottom of every page, there should be the signatures of the accused, the notary and the judge, and, at the end of each session the judge will cite the accused, indicating the date for the following session which the notary will note in this way: "Having been informed of and having accepted all of these matters, the accused has now been cited for the ... day of the month of... to appear again, and he was dismissed after being admonished, etc.," as above. However, in the following session the first question will be: whether to those things which were treated in the preceding sessions the accused has anything to add, remove or correct on his own", and, after his answer has been transcribed, the session will then be continued, from that point at which the previous interrogation ended.

N.B. -- It would be superfluous to note that the judge, before he comes to the indictment, must accurately subject the whole informative process to his examination, --obviously all the denunciations both informal and formal and also of the material not pertaining to the Holy Office; his examinations about the morals and the veracity of the one denouncing, and the investigations and information about the life, morals and good name of the one denounced, plus love letters perhaps written by him, etc. -- so that the same judge has at hand all the elements with which to weaken the denials of the accused; and with which to rebut his arbitrary affirmations. From the potential confessions of the accused he can force him to admit more matters.

28

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

"EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE"

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

++55++

FORMULA Q

The Formula for a Petition by the Promoter of Justice

A) IN THE CASE OF PROPOSING AN ADJOURNMENT

Once there is premised a brief summary and inquiry about the reason of law and fact, there is this conclusion; for example.

Having taken everything into consideration, I think it should be decided that the Reverend Be dismissed with a grave admonition, the process remaining in force. And for the same reason and purpose. The purpose is (for example) that he watched more diligently: that he be kept from any familiarity with woman, also using ecclesiastical censures, and, if anything obscene (or, if anything not in keeping with the sacerdotal state, etc.) is observed in his life-style, then he will be brought to the tribunal immediately.

On the...day of the month of...in the year...

Signature of the Promoter of Justice

B) IN THE CASE OF PROPOSING A CONDEMNATION

What has been premised above, etc.

...I think that it should be decreed that, having imposed congruent (or grave) and salutary penances, among which there would be spiritual exercises for ...days to be done in a religious house, during which he will remain suspended from the celebration of the mass, the Reverend... should be dismissed with (here there should be expressed according to the prescription of Canon 2368 § I and also the supplementary sanctions which seem to need to be inflicted). If he has by chance absolved his accomplice, he should heal his conscience by a recourse to the Sacred Penitentiary.

On the ... day of the month of ... in the year ...

Signature of the Promoter of Justice

C) IN THE CASE OF PROPOSING ABSOLUTION

... I think it should be decreed: that the innocence of the charged person is evident from the acts; and therefore Reverend...should e dismissed once he has been absolved.

++56++

FORMULA R

The manner of Rendering a Condemnatory Sentence in Case where the Accused Remains Negative

We (there should be noted the name, father's name, qualities, etc., of the Judge-Ordinary or the delegated).

Since...(the name, father's name, age, condition, etc. of the accused, and, if he is a religious, there should also be added the name he used in the world) was not afraid to abuse the sacrament of penance by words and acts concerning which there is treatment in the Pontifical Constitutions and especially in the Constitution of Benedict XIV, which first words are Sacramentum Poenitentiae, by saying and doing these things...(here, summarily, and in prudent and discrete words, there should be told how, how often, etc. the accused committed the fault);

29

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

++55++

And, since, because of all these matters he has been denounced to our tribunal, he has been duly cited on this day (let there be noted the day and month of citation), with a proper process having been constituted against him, he has now been indicted on these days (state on which days); however, he remains negative. Nevertheless he has been convicted of the matter.

Therefore, although he has affirmed that he feels that he has acted correctly concerning the faith and Catholic doctrine (having supposed, evidently that the matter was truly so), and the defender for the court action was not remiss in his duty of promoting and sustaining the proper defences for the accused;

Nevertheless, having correctly and seriously weighed everything, we the Judge-Ordinary of the delegate, on this day (let there be noted the day on which the sentence is given), from the acts and proofs, believe and are convinced that the sentence which follows ought to be rendered.

Therefore, having invoked the name of god, and that the most blessed and ever virgin Mary the Mother of God and of our lord Jesus Christ, we issue this our definitive sentence which we, seated for the tribunal, issue, with these pages, in the cause which has been brought before us between D.....(name, family name, etc. of the Promoter of Justice) the promoter ++57++ of justice at this tribunal and ...(name, family name, etc. of the accused, as above, we say, decree and declare and hold that.....(the name, family name, etc. of the accused is repeated), because of those matters of which he has been convicted, has been judged guilty of the crime of solicitation toward obscene matters (and of false dogma) and therefore has merited the censures and penalties which have been started, legislated and promulgated against such delinquents.

Lest, therefore, the above mentioned errors and faults remain unpunished, and in order that the accused will hasten to live in the future more cautiously and be an example to others, we will therefore condemn him...(there should be added the dispositive part of the discussion.)

Likewise we impose upon him these salutary penances...(and let it be said what penances are imposed).

And thus we say, discern, declare and order and definitively believe and we do intend to wish to order its execution, as we order concerning the fact in this way and with that form which by law we can and must [decree], at the same time mandating for this purpose with the present letter that the accused on this date.... Will be cited to hear the reading and conveyance of this our decision.

Thus we pronounce (and the act should be closed with an indication of the place and day in which it is to be published).
L.X S.

Signature of the Judge the Ordinary or of his delegate Signature of the notary

+++58++

FORMULA S

Manner of Delivering a Condemnatory Sentence in Cases Where the Accused has Confessed His Crimes

We (Let there be noted the name, family name, qualities, etc., of the judge-Ordinary or his delegate).

Since...(name, family name, father's name, age, condition, etc. of the accused, and, if he is a religious, let there be added also the name by which he is known in the world. Was not afraid to abuse the sacrament of penance by words and actions concerning which treatment was given in Pontifical Constitutions and especially in the constitution of Benedict XIV, which opening words are SACRAMENTUM POENITENTIAE, saying and doing these things...(here in a summary fashion and with prudent and discreet words, it should be indicated how, how often, etc. the accused been at fault).

30

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES
"EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE"
ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION
The Vatican Press, 1962

Since, because he has been denounced for all these matters to our tribunal, and a regular process has been set up at this tribunal against him and he was duly cited on this date (here should be noted the day, and the month of the citation), he has arraigned on these days (let it be said on what days); he confessed this and this (there should be summarized his confession).

Although, therefore, he has affirmed that he felt that he was correct in matter of faith and Catholic doctrine (and with the supposition, evidently, that this is truly the case), and his defending advocate, in keeping with his duty, was not remiss in his promotion and sustaining the due defences.

Nevertheless, having weighed everything correctly and seriously, we the judge-Ordinary or his delegate, on this day (let there be noted the day on which the sentence is given) from the acts and proof think and retain that the sentence that follows ought to be rendered.

Therefore, having invoked the name of God, and that of the most blessed virgin Mary, the Mother of God and of our lord Jesus Christ, with this definitive sentence which we published seated here for the tribunal on this public record in the case which has processed in our presence between D...(name, family name, etc. of the Promoter of Justice) the promoter of justice ++59++ in this tribunal and.... (name, family name, etc. of the accused, as above), we say, decide, declare and believe that ... (name, family name, etc. of the accused is repeated), because of those things which he has confessed, has been judged guilty of the crime of solicitation to obscene matters (and of false dogma), and, moreover, that he has merited the censures and penalties which have been put forth, stated and promulgated against such delinquents by the holy canons.

Lest the aforesaid errors and faults remain without penalty, and in order that the accused should hasten to live more cautiously in the future, and be an example to others, we condemn him in this way ... (here there should be added the dispositive part of the sentence).

Likewise for salutary penances, we impose... (here are indicated the penances which are imposed).

Because, however, the accused has spontaneously confessed the aforesaid errors and faults and he humbly asked forgiveness for them, we wish, moreover, to absolve him from any excommunication he perhaps incurred, as long as he first gives evidence that, with a sincere heart and faith that are real he first abjures those errors and detests his faults; thus we ordain by this our sentence that he act in accordance with the manner and form seated by us.

And thus we say, decree, declare, order and definitively believe and intend and wish to command to execution, as concerning the facts, we order in a better way and according to that form which we can and must use by law, at the same time ordaining with the present letter that the accused on this day... will be cited to hear the reading and being informed of this our sentence.

Thus we pronounce (and the act should be closed with an indication of the place and day on which it was made known).

L. X S.

Signature of the Judge-Ordinary of his Delegate
Signature of the Notary

++60++
FORMULA T

31

FROM THE SUPREME AND HOLY CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE
FOR ALL PATRIARCHS, ARCHBISHOPS, BISHOPS AND OTHER DIOCESAN
ORDINARIES

“EVEN OF THE ORIENTAL RITE”

ON THE MANNER OF PROCEEDING IN CASES OF SOLICITATION

The Vatican Press, 1962

Manner of Declaring Solemnly about the Promulgation and Intimation of the Sentence in
the Cases of Solicitation

The notary should begin the act with these words:

By force of the decree of this date (let the day be noted on which the sentence was given) given by... (name, family name, etc. of the judge), in the presence of the same person at (the location ought to be noted), which the notary present, N,N, appeared

personally (name, family name, father's name, age, condition, etc. of the accused, and, he was a religious, there should also be added the name he used in the world), to whom by the aforesaid judge seated for the tribunal there were read the following matters:

Here the document is read completely word for word by which the sentence has been given.

Then there is added:

On the...day of the month of...in the year...with these writings there has been promulgated the aforesaid sentence through the above mentioned person (name, etc. of the judge) seated for the tribunal (let there be said in what place), and by this reading in a high and intelligent voice, to the present person (the name of the accused) listening to him and not contradicting; (if he had confessed there should be added: being willing, genuflecting before the judge, touching the holy Gospels of God placed before him, he abjured the aforesaid errors [and heresies and generally all the others errors and heresies contrary to the Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Roman Church], as in the schedule of his abjuration , by which he undertook his abjuration, still kneeling, was absolved in the customary form of the church from the sentence of excommunication and was reconciled to the Holy Mother the Church, having undertaken prayers and usual and customary ceremonies) -- and there having been enjoined upon him salutary penances contained in said sentence. Having received all these things, he was dismissed, sworn to observe the secrecy at the touch of the ++61++ holy Gospels and previously, in confirmation of what was presented before, of his and my signature.

Signature of the Accused

These acts have been signed by myself, N.N. the notary (and if he has been authorized only for this act: authorized only for this act).

Finally, the judge signs.

